The Liberty of Massachusetts.
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THE LIBERATOR.

FEBRUARY 11, 1850.

WASHINGTON, D.C., February 2, 1850.

HONORARY POLITICAL ADDRESS.

To the Members of the House of Representatives.

We have been informed that the House of Representatives has voted to meet in special session on Saturday, the 9th instant, to consider the measure of granting a franchise to the state of Utah. We are glad to learn that this measure has been pushed forward with such energy and vigor as to result in the passage of the bill without delay. We are also glad to learn that the bill has been referred to a committee of the whole House, and that the committee has recommended the same to the House. We are also glad to learn that the House has adopted the recommendations of the committee, and that the bill has been referred to the Committee on the Judiciary for further consideration.
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DEBATE UPON AN ANTI-SLAVERY PIVOT

NEWARK, NEW JERSEY, January 12, 1857.

Dear Sir,

I have just received your circular... [Letter content continues with inquiries and information about the antislavery movement and political matters.]}
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